

# CS 30: Discrete Math in CS (Winter 2019): Lecture 27

Date: 27th February, 2019 (Wednesday)

Topic: Graphs: Connectivity, Trees

*Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors.*

*Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to [deeparnab@dartmouth.edu](mailto:deeparnab@dartmouth.edu)*

---

1. **Perambulations in Graphs.** We introduce a lot of definitions involving alternating sequence of vertices and edges. These are key definitions so make sure you understand them. Throughout below we fix a graph  $G = (V, E)$ .

- A **walk**  $w$  in  $G$  is an *alternating sequence* of vertices and edges

$$w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_k, v_k)$$

such that the  $i$ th edge  $e_i = (v_{i-1}, v_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . Intuitively, imagine starting at vertex  $v_0$ , using the edge  $e_1$  to go to the adjacent vertex  $v_1$ , and then using  $e_2$  to go to the adjacent (to  $v_1$ ) vertex  $v_2$ , and so on and so forth till we reach  $v_k$ . Note, by this constraint above the identity of the edges are defined by the vertices, and so telling them explicitly is redundant. Nevertheless, when talking about a walk, one explicitly writes down the edges.

Note both the edges and vertices could repeat themselves. That is  $e_i$  could be the same as  $e_j$  for  $j \neq i$ . In fact,  $e_{i+1}$  could be the same as  $e_i$ ; this would mean going from one endpoint of  $e_i$  to the other and immediately returning back.

The walk above is said to *start* at  $v_0$  and *end* at  $v_k$ . The node  $v_0$  is often called the *source/origin* and the node  $v_k$  is often called the *sink/destination*. If there is a walk as described above, then we often say “there is a walk from  $v_0$  to  $v_k$ .”

A walk is of **length**  $k$  if there are  $k$  edges in the sequence. Note that since repetition of both vertices and edges are allowed, walks could go on for ever.

- A **trail**  $t$  in  $G$  is a walk with no edges repeating. That is, a trail is also an alternating sequence of vertices and edges

$$t = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_k, v_k) \quad \text{where the } e_i\text{'s are distinct}$$

Note that a trail *could repeat vertices*. For instance, if the graph was

$G = (\{a, b, c, d, e\}, \{(a, b), (b, c), (c, d), (d, b), (b, e)\})$ , then the following is a valid trail. The vertex  $b$  is repeated.

$$t = (a, (a, b), b, (b, c), c, (c, d), d, (d, b), b, (b, e), e)$$

Also note that a trail cannot be arbitrarily long. A trail’s length is at most  $|E|$ .

- A **path**  $p$  in a graph  $G$  is a walk with no *vertices repeated*. Note that a path is always a trail. In fact, a path is a trail with no vertices repeating. Oftentimes, for describing paths, the alternating edges are dropped. So for instance

$$p = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_k) \quad \text{actually stands for } (v_0, (v_0, v_1), v_1, (v_1, v_2), v_2, \dots, (v_{k-1}, v_k), v_k)$$

- A *closed walk* is a walk whose origin and destination are the same vertex. If  $e = (u, v)$  is an edge in  $G$ , then the following is a closed walk of length 2

$$w = (u, e, v, e, u)$$

A closed walk must be of length at least 2.

Note that given a closed walk, we can choose any  $v_i \in w$  to be the source *and* the destination using the same vertices and edges of the closed walk. That is, given a closed walk

$$w = (v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_k, v_k) \quad \text{with } v_k = v_0$$

and an arbitrary vertex  $v_i \in w$  with  $1 \leq i < k$ , we can have another closed walk

$$w' = (v_i, e_{i+1}, v_{i+1}, \dots, e_k, v_k = v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \dots, e_i, v_i)$$

Note  $w'$  is a closed walk whose source and destination are  $v_i$ .

- A *circuit* is a closed trail of length at least 1. That is, it is a trail whose origin and destination are the same vertex, and contains at least one edge. The latter constraint disallows a singleton node from being defined as a circuit. Indeed, a circuit must have at least 3 edges – do you see this?
- A *cycle* is a circuit with no vertex other than the source and destination repeating. Thus, a cycle is a path followed by an edge from the destination of the path to the origin, and then the origin node.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph and  $u$  and  $v$  be two distinct vertices in  $V(G)$ . If there is a *finite walk* from  $u$  to  $v$  in  $G$ , then there is a *path* from  $u$  to  $v$ .

*Proof.* In the UGP, you see a way to prove the above by induction. There is another (slicker) way of looking at the inductive proof. It involves the “minimal counter example” idea. Goes like this.

Let  $W$  be the set of all walks from  $u$  to  $v$ . We know that there is one of finite length. Pick  $w \in W$  to be the walk from  $u$  to  $v$  of the **smallest length**. We claim that this walk must be a path.

Suppose not. Suppose  $w$  is not a path. That is,

$$w = (x_0 := u, e_1, x_1, \dots, e_k, x_k := v)$$

but two vertices, say  $x_i$  and  $x_j$  with  $i < j$  and both  $0 \leq i, j \leq k$ , are the same. Then, consider the walk

$$w' = (x_0 := u, e_1, x_1, \dots, x_i, e_{j+1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, e_k, x_k)$$

This walk  $w'$  is a smaller length walk than  $w$ . But this contradicts the choice of  $w$ . Thus, our supposition must be wrong. Therefore,  $w$  is a path. □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  and  $u$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $V(G)$  and  $e$  be an arbitrary vertex in  $E(G)$ . If there is a *circuit* in  $G$  containing  $u$ , then there is a *cycle* in  $G$  containing  $u$ . If there is a *circuit* in  $G$  containing  $e$ , then there is a *cycle* in  $G$  containing  $e$ .

## 2. Connectivity in Graphs

In a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we say a vertex  $v$  is *reachable* from  $u$  if there is a path starting from  $v$  and ending at  $u$ .

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is *connected* if any vertex  $u$  is reachable from another vertex  $v$ . A graph is *disconnected* otherwise.

Given any graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we can partition it into *connected components*. That is,  $V = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_k$  where (a) any two vertices in the same  $V_i$  are reachable from one another, and (b) a vertex  $u \in V_i$  is *not* reachable from any vertex  $v \in V_j$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Given any graph  $G = (V, E)$  and a vertex  $u \in V$ , the set of vertices  $S_u \subseteq V$  which are *reachable* from  $u$  is the connected component of  $G$  which contains  $u$ .

## 3. Trees.

A graph  $G = (V, E)$  is a *forest* if it doesn't contain any cycles.

A forest  $G = (V, E)$  is a *tree* if it is connected. That is, a tree  $G = (V, E)$  is a connected graph which doesn't contain any cycles.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a forest. Then each connected component of  $G$  induces a tree.

*Proof.* Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be the connected components of  $G$ . Each  $G[V_i]$  is connected by definition. If  $G$  doesn't contain a cycle, then any subgraph also doesn't contain a cycle. Thus,  $G[V_i]$  contains no cycle. Thus  $G[V_i]$  is a tree.  $\square$

There are many equivalent ways to think about trees. We prove some here, and some are left as exercises in the UGP.

**Theorem 4.** (The Tree Theorem.) Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a graph. The following are equivalent statements.

- (a)  $G$  is a tree.
- (b)  $G$  has no cycles and adding any edge to  $G$  creates a cycle.
- (c) Between any two vertices in  $G$  there is a unique path.
- (d)  $G$  is connected, and deleting any edge from  $G$  disconnects the graph, and the resulting graph has exactly two connected components.
- (e)  $G$  is connected and  $|E| = |V| - 1$ .
- (f)  $G$  has no cycles and  $|E| = |V| - 1$ .

*Proof.*

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): Since  $G$  is a tree,  $G$  doesn't contain any cycle. Suppose we add an edge  $(u, v) \notin E$  to  $G$ . Note, there is a path from  $v$  to  $u$  in  $G$  since  $G$  is connected. Then in the graph  $H := G + (u, v)$ , there is a cycle which starts from  $v$ , follows the path to  $u$ , and then returns to  $v$  using the new edge  $(u, v)$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Fix any two vertices  $u$  and  $v$  in  $G$ . Since  $G$  has no cycles, there cannot be *two or more than two* paths in  $G$  from  $u$  to  $v$ . (This is established in the UGP). We now show it has one path from  $u$  to  $v$ . If the  $(u, v) \in E$ , this is the length 1 path. Otherwise, since  $G + (u, v)$  forms a cycle and  $G$  had no cycles, this cycle must contain the edge  $(u, v)$ . Deleting  $(u, v)$  from this cycle leads to a path from  $u$  to  $v$ . More precisely, given the cycle containing  $(u, v)$ , I could write it as a closed walk where the starting and ending vertex is  $u$ . Either the *second* or the *penultimate* vertex must be  $v$ . Deleting  $(u, v)$  would give a walk from  $v$  to  $u$  or from  $u$  to  $v$ . In either case, we would have a path from  $u$  to  $v$ .

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d): UGP

(d)  $\Rightarrow$  (e): We prove this by induction. Let  $P(n)$  be true if "for all graphs  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = n$  which are (a) connected, and (b) deleting any edge  $e$  from  $G$  disconnects  $G$  and leads to exactly two connected components, must have  $|E| = |V| - 1$ ."

**Base Case:** Is  $P(1)$  true? There is only graph with 1 vertex. It has  $|E| = 0 = 1 - 1$ . Thus,  $P(1)$  is vacuously true.

**Inductive Case:** Fix a natural number  $k$  and assume  $P(1), P(2), \dots, P(k)$  is true. We wish to prove  $P(k+1)$  is true. To this end, we fix a graph  $G = (V, E)$  with  $|V| = k+1$  which satisfies the condition (d). Fix any edge  $(u, v) \in E$ . (d) implies that  $G - (u, v)$  has two connected components  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ . Consider the two induced subgraphs of  $G$ , namely,  $G_1 = G[V_1]$  and  $G_2 = G[V_2]$ . Note that for both these graphs (a) they are connected, and (b) deleting any edge disconnects the graph into two connected components. Furthermore,  $|V_1|$  and  $|V_2|$  are both  $\leq k$ . Thus, by strong induction,  $|E(G_1)| = |V_1| - 1$  and  $|E(G_2)| = |V_2| - 1$ . Thus,  $|E(G)| = |E(G_1)| + |E(G_2)| + 1 = |V_1| + |V_2| - 1 = |V| - 1$ .

(e)  $\Rightarrow$  (f): Before we prove this, we make an important observation. Since  $\sum_{v \in V} \deg(v) = 2|E|$  and since  $|E| = |V| - 1$ , we get that there exist some  $v \in V$  with  $\deg(v) < 2$ . If every  $\deg(v) \geq 2$ , then the LHS is  $\geq 2|V|$ . Furthermore, since  $G$  is connected, there can't be any isolated vertices. Thus,  $\deg(v) \geq 1$  for all  $v$ . Together, we can conclude *there must exist a vertex in  $G$  with  $\deg(v) = 1$ . This is called a leaf of the tree.*

We now prove  $G = (V, E)$  has no cycles by induction. I am going to sketch the proof; I expect by now you to be comfortable enough to write the whole formal proof using predicates and all.

Since  $G = (V, E)$  has a leaf, let's call it  $v$ . Consider  $G' = G - v$ . Note,  $|E(G')| = |E(G)| - 1 = |V(G)| - 2 = |V(G')| - 1$ . Furthermore,  $G'$  is connected. For any  $x, y \in V(G')$ , there was a path from  $x$  to  $y$  in  $G$ . This path couldn't have contained  $v$  since  $\deg_G(v) = 1$ . Thus, this path also exists in  $G'$ , implying  $G'$  is connected. Thus, by induction,  $G'$  doesn't have any cycles. This means  $G$  doesn't have any cycles since  $\deg_G(v) = 1$  implies  $v$  can't introduce new cycles.

(f)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): UGP

□

**Theorem 5.** Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a forest with  $k$  connected components. Then  $|E(G)| = |V(G)| - k$ .

*Proof.* Let  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  be the connected components of  $G = (V, E)$ . We know that each  $G_i := G[V_i]$  is a tree. Thus,  $|E(G_i)| = |V(G_i)| - 1$ . Thus,  $|E(G)| = \sum_{i=1}^k |E(G_i)| = \left( \sum_{i=1}^k |V(G_i)| \right) - k = |V(G)| - k$ . □