## CS 30: Discrete Math in CS (Winter 2019): Lecture 24

Date: 20th February, 2019 (Wednesday) Topic: Probability: Random Variables, Expectation Disclaimer: These notes have not gone through scrutiny and in all probability contain errors. Please discuss in Piazza/email errors to deeparnab@dartmouth.edu

## 1. Random Variable.

Given a random experiment with outcomes  $\Omega$ , a *real valued random variable* X defined over this experiment is a mapping  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ . An *integer valued random variable* X is a mapping from  $X : \Omega \to \mathbb{Z}$ .

Examples:

- We toss a fair coin. *X*(heads) = 0 and *X*(tails) = 1. This is a {0,1}-random variable, or a Boolean random variable. Also called a *Bernoulli* random variable.
- We roll a fair die. *X* takes the value on the face of the die.
- We roll *two* fair dice. X takes the value of the sum. In this case, X = Y + Z where Y, Z are random variables of the kind from the previous bullet point.
- Given any event  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is an associated random variable called the *indicator random* variable denoted as  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(\omega) = 1$  if  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , and 0 otherwise.

## 2. Events associated with random variables.

Given a random variable *X*, we can associate many events and ask for their probabilities. For instance, we can ask  $\mathbf{Pr}[X = x]$ . More precisely, this is a shorthand for saying  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega)=x} \mathbf{Pr}[\omega]$ .

Similarly,  $\mathbf{Pr}[X \ge k]$  is a shorthand for saying  $\sum_{\omega \in \Omega: X(\omega) > k} \mathbf{Pr}[\omega]$ .

## 3. Expectation of a Random Variable.

**Theorem 1.** The expectation of a random variable *X* is defined to be

$$\mathbf{Exp}[X] = \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[\omega] = \sum_{x \in \mathsf{range}(x)} x \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[X = x]$$

**Remark:** The expectation is therefore often thought of as an inner-product (aka dot-product) of two vectors. These vectors have  $|\Omega|$  dimensions. One vector is  $(X(\omega) : \omega \in \Omega)$ , and the other is  $(\mathbf{Pr}[\omega] : \omega \in \Omega)$ . This dot-product view is often useful (although, sadly, we may not see its ramifications in this course).

Examples:

• We toss a fair coin. X(heads) = 0 and X(tails) = 1. This is a  $\{0, 1\}$ -random variable, or a Boolean random variable. Also called a Bernoulli random variable.

$$\mathbf{Exp}[X] = 0 \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[X=0] + 1 \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[X=1] = 1/2$$

Indeed, if the coin were not fair, and the probability that tails would come with probability p, then  $\mathbf{Exp}[X] = p$ .

• We roll a fair die. X takes the value on the face of the die.

$$\mathbf{Exp}[X] = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 2 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 3 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 4 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 5 \cdot \frac{1}{6} + 6 \cdot \frac{1}{6} = 3.5$$

• We roll two fair dice. X takes the value of the sum. In this case, X = Y + Z where Y, Z are random variables of the kind from the previous bullet point.

This is requires a little work. The range of X is  $\{2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12\}$ . We can calculate the probabilities for each (remember, it is not uniform), and then do the calculation.

**Exercise:** *Please do the calculation.* 

We get the answer 7. Did you?

• Given any event  $\mathcal{E}$ , there is an associated random variable called the indicator random variable denoted as  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}$ , where  $\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}(\omega) = 1$  if  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ , and 0 otherwise.

$$\mathbf{Exp}[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{E}}] = 0 \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[\neg \mathcal{E}] + 1 \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[\mathcal{E}] = \mathbf{Pr}[\mathcal{E}]$$

This is quite important. Why? Because it turns a probability calculation (the RHS) into an expectation calculation. As we show below, calculating expectations is often easier than calculating probabilities.

Þ

Ŀ

**Exercise:** Suppose you have a fair coin. Construct the following random variable Z whose range is  $\mathbb{N}$ . You keep tossing the fair coin till you get a heads. Z is the number of times you have tossed the coin. What is  $\mathbf{Exp}[Z]$ ?

4. Multiplication by a scalar. If *X* is a random variable, and *c* is a "scalar" (a constant), then  $Z = c \cdot X$  is another random variable.  $\mathbf{Exp}[c \cdot X] = c \cdot \mathbf{Exp}[X]$ .

**Exercise:** *Prove this.* 

5. **Linearity of Expectation.** This is one of the most powerful equations in all of probability. Literally. It states the following. It literally has a four line proof.

**Theorem 2.** For any two random variables *X* and *Y*, let Z := X + Y. Then,

$$\mathbf{Exp}[Z] = \mathbf{Exp}[X] + \mathbf{Exp}[Y]$$

ß

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{Exp}[Z] &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Z(\omega) \mathbf{Pr}[\omega] & \text{Definition of Expectation} \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} \left( X(\omega) + Y(\omega) \right) \mathbf{Pr}[\omega] & \text{Definition of } Z \\ &= \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} X(\omega) \mathbf{Pr}[\omega] + \sum_{\omega \in \Omega} Y(\omega) \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[\omega] & \text{Distributivity} \\ &= \mathbf{Exp}[X] + \mathbf{Exp}[Y] & \text{Definition of Expectation} \end{split}$$

As a corollary, we get:

**Theorem 3.** For any *k* random variables  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_k$ ,

$$\mathbf{Exp}\left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \mathbf{Exp}[X_i]$$

Examples of applications.

- (a) We roll two fair dice. X takes the value of the sum. In this case, X = Y + Z where Y, Z are random variables of the kind from the previous bullet point.
  Tailor-made application. Exp[Y] = Exp[Z] = 3.5, the expected value of a single roll of a die. Thus, Exp[X] = Exp[Y + Z] = 7 by linearity of expectation.
- (b) We have a biased coin which lands heads with probability p. We toss it 100 times. Let X be the number of heads we see. What is  $\mathbf{Exp}[X]$ ?

**Remark:** Try doing this the "first-principle" way. That is, for each  $0 \le k \le 100$ , figure out the probability  $\mathbf{Pr}[X = k]$  (that is, the probability we get exactly k heads), and then  $\sup \sum_{k=0}^{100} k \cdot \mathbf{Pr}[X = k]$ . Please try it; feel the sweat needed to do this. It will make you appreciate the next three lines more!

*Define* new random variables; define  $X_i$  to take the value 1 if the *i*th toss is heads, and 0 otherwise. Note,  $X = X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_{100}$ . Note,  $\mathbf{Exp}[X_i] = p$  (it is a Bernoulli random variable). Thus, linearity of expectation gives  $\mathbf{Exp}[X] = 100p$ .

(c) *n* people checked in their hats, but on their way out, were handed back hats randomly. What is the expected number of people who get their correct hats?
Define X<sub>i</sub> to be 1 if the *i*th person gets his or her back correctly. What is Exp[X<sub>i</sub>]? It is 1/n; it is the probability that σ(i) = i for a random ordering σ. Let Z = ∑<sub>i=1</sub><sup>n</sup> X<sub>i</sub>. Note, Z is the number of people who get their correct hats. By linearity of expectation, Exp[Z] = 1.

(d) In a party of n people there are some pairs of people who are friends, and some pairs who are not. In all there are m pairs of friends. The host randomly divides the party by taking each person and sending them left or right at the toss of a fair coin. How many friends are sent apart (in expectation)?

**Remark:** A graph is randomly split into two. How many edges, in expectation, have endpoints in different parts?

For each pair of friends (u, v), define  $X_{uv}$  which takes the value 1 if u and v are split, and takes the value 0 if u and v are not split. The probability u and v are split is 1/2(either u is sent left, v is sent right, or vice-versa). Thus,  $\mathbf{Exp}[X_{uv}] = 1/2$ . Define  $Z = \sum_{(u,v): \text{ friends }} X_{uv}$ ; Z is the number of friends sent apart.  $\mathbf{Exp}[Z] = \sum_{(u,v): \text{ friends }} \mathbf{Exp}[X_{uv}] = m/2$ . In expectation, half the friendships are sundered apart.

(e) In an ordering  $\sigma$  of (1, 2, ..., n), an inversion is a pair i < j such that  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ . How many inversions, in expectation, are there in a random permutation?

Let  $\sigma$  be a random permutation. Define the *indicator random variable*  $X_{ij}$  for i < j, which takes the value 1 if  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$ , and 0 otherwise. Note that  $\Pr[X_{ij} = 1] = \frac{1}{2}$ ; there are equally many orderings with  $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$  as  $\sigma(i) < \sigma(j)$ . Now note that  $Z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j>i} X_{ij}$  is the number of inversions in  $\sigma$ . Thus,  $\exp[Z] = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j>n} \exp[X_{ij}] = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ .